


JC10 Rec'd PCT/PTO 14 JUN 2005

PTO/SB/21 (08-00)

Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.



Please type a plus sign (+) inside this box → ☐

# TRANSMITTAL FORM

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

Application Number	10/501,554
Filing Date	July 16, 2004
First Named Inventor	BROGARDH <i>et al.</i>
Group Art Unit	TBA
Examiner Name	TBA
Attorney Docket Number	43315-205197

Total Number of Pages in This Submission

## ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)

<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal Form <input type="checkbox"/> Fee Attached <input type="checkbox"/> Preliminary Amendment / Response <input type="checkbox"/> After Final <input type="checkbox"/> Affidavits/declaration(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Extension of Time Request <input type="checkbox"/> Express Abandonment Request <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Response to Missing Parts/Incomplete Application <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Response to Decision on Petition to Correct Inventorship	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Assignment Papers (re-submission) (for an Application) <input type="checkbox"/> Drawing(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Licensing-related Papers <input type="checkbox"/> Petition to Correct Inventorship <input type="checkbox"/> Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application <input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address <input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer <input type="checkbox"/> Request for Refund <input type="checkbox"/> CD, Number of CD(s) _____	<input type="checkbox"/> After Allowance Communication to Group <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) <input type="checkbox"/> Proprietary Information <input type="checkbox"/> Status Letter <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below): 1. Declaration 2. Renewed Request Under 37 C.F.C. 1.497(d)
--	--	--

Remarks

## SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT

Firm or Individual name	*26694* 26694 PATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE	
Signature	Eric J. Franklin, Reg. No. 37,134 	
Date	June 14, 2005	

## CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450b on this date: \_\_\_\_\_

Typed or printed name			
Signature		Date	

**VENABLE**  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, 22313-1450.

RECEIVED  
1 SEP 2005

Int. Legal Staff  
Patent Division




25 MAY 2005

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

EFJ

VENABLE LLP  
PO Box 34385  
Washington, DC 20043-9998



COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE www.uspto.gov	
<b>DATES DOCKETED</b>	
<i>request to correct inventorship</i> JUN 01 2005	
DUE:	7-25-05
CU:	
VENABLE LLP	DKTED BY <i>rgc</i>

In re Application of: BROGARDH, Torgny, et al.  
U.S. Application No.: 10/501,554  
PCT No.: PCT/SE02/00075  
International Filing Date: 16 January 2002  
Priority Date: None  
Attorney's Docket No.: ~~433015-205197~~  
For: INDUSTRIAL ROBOT

DECISION ON PETITION TO  
CORRECT INVENTORSHIP

This decision is issued in response to the "Request To Correct Inventorship" filed 05 May 2005, treated herein under 37 CFR 1.497(d). Applicants have paid the required processing fee.

### BACKGROUND

On 16 January 2002, applicants filed international application PCT/SE02/00075 which did not claim an earlier priority date and which designated the United States. On 24 July 2003, a copy of the international application was communicated to the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO") by the International Bureau ("IB"). The published international application identified four applicant/inventors for the United States: Torgny BROGARDH, Daniel WAPPLING, Asa VALLIN, Mathilda ANDREASSON, and Ivan LUNDBERG.

The deadline for submission of the basic national fee was thirty months from the priority date, i.e., 16 July 2004.

On 16 July 2004, applicant filed a transmittal letter for entry into the national stage in the United States accompanied by, among other materials, payment of the basic national fee.

On 11 March 2005, the United States Designated/Elected Office (DO/EO/US) mailed a "Notification Of Missing Requirements" (Form PCT/DO/EO/905) indicating that an executed oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.497 was required.

On 05 May 2005, applicants filed a response to the Notification Of Missing Requirements that included a declaration document and the "Request To Correct Inventorship" considered herein. The request seeks to remove inventor Daniel WAPPLING from the application and add inventor Hans SKUTBERG.

### DISCUSSION

The declaration document filed by applicants on 05 May 2005 identifies and is executed by five inventors: Hans SKUTBERG, Torgny BROGARDH, Asa VALLIN, Mathilda

ANDREASSON, and Ivan LUNDBERG. This does not correspond to the inventorship listed on the international application. Specifically, the declaration adds Hans SKUTBERG as an inventor and removes Daniel WAPPLING. As noted above, the present submission seeks to correct the inventorship to correspond to the filed declaration.

Where, as here, the inventorship in the national stage declaration is not consistent with the inventorship in the international application, applicants must correct the inventorship pursuant to 37 CFR 1.497(d), which states the following:

(d) If the oath or declaration filed pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 371(c)(4) and this section names an inventive entity different from the inventive entity set forth in the international application, the oath or declaration must be accompanied by:

- (1) A statement from each person being added as an inventor and from each person being deleted as an inventor that any error in inventorship in the international application occurred without deceptive intention on his or her part;
- (2) The processing fee set forth in § 1.17(I); and
- (3) If an assignment has been executed by any of the original named inventors, the written consent of the assignees (see § 3.73(b) of this chapter).

Applicants' 05 May 2005 submission satisfies requirements (1) and (2), above, but item (3) is not satisfied. Specifically, while applicants have submitted a statement of consent from the purported assignee ABB AB, a copy of the assignment itself is not present in the application file. Either a copy of the assignment or a specific reference to its recorded location in the USPTO (i.e., reel and frame number) is required before the consent of the assignee can be accepted herein (see 37 CFR 3.73(b)). Because applicants have not satisfied all the requirements of 37 CFR 1.497(d), the inventorship herein cannot be corrected on the present record.

In addition, the declaration document filed 05 May 2005 is unacceptable in that it was submitted in an improper form. The six pages of declaration appear to be a compilation of more than one copy of the declaration (there are five copies of page "2 of 2" included in the document). Such compilation is impermissible (see M.P.E.P. § 201.03: "Where individual declarations are executed, they must be submitted as individual declarations rather than combined into one declaration"). Accordingly, applicants must submit copies of the complete declarations executed by each of the inventors.

**CONCLUSION**

Applicants' request to correct inventorship under 37 CFR 1.497(d) is **DISMISSED** without prejudice. The inventors of record remain the inventors named on the international application.

The declaration filed by applicants on 05 May 2005 is not acceptable under 37 CFR 1.497 in that the declaration appears to be an improper compilation of multiple declarations and it fails to properly identify the current inventors of record herein.

If reconsideration on the merits of this request under 37 CFR 1.497(d) is desired, a proper response must be filed within **TWO (2) MONTHS** from the mail date of this decision. Any reconsideration request should include a cover letter entitled "Renewed Request Under 37 CFR 1.497(d)" and should include the materials required to satisfy 37 CFR 1.497(d)(3) (i.e., a copy of the assignment or a proper reference to the recorded assignment pursuant to 37 CFR 3.73(b)) and complete copies of each declaration executed by the inventors, as discussed above.

No additional petition fee is required. Failure to file a timely response will result in abandonment of the application.

Please direct further correspondence with respect to this matter to the Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Mail Stop PCT, Commissioner for Patents, Office of PCT Legal Administration, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450, with the contents of the letter marked to the attention of the Office of PCT Legal Administration.



Richard M. Ross  
PCT Petitions Attorney  
Office Of PCT Legal Administration  
Telephone: (571) 272-3296  
Facsimile: (571) 273-0459